Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners



2 3

5

9

10 11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18 19 20

21

2651 N. Green Valley Pkwy, Ste. 104 • Henderson, NV 89014 • (702) 486-7044 • (800) DDS-EXAM • Fax (702) 486-7046

PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE & BOARD MEETING AGENDA

Meeting Date & Time

Wednesday, February 1, 2023 6:00 P.M.

Meeting Location:

Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners 2651 N. Green Valley Pkwy., Suite 104 Henderson, NV 89014

Video Conferencing / Teleconferencing Available

To access by phone, call Zoom teleconference Phone Number: (669) 900 6833 To access by video webinar, visit www.zoom.com or use the Zoom app Zoom Webinar/Meeting ID#: 880 3824 3034 Zoom Webinar/Meeting Passcode: 902431

MINUTES

PUBLIC NOTICE:

Public Comment by pre-submitted email/written form and Live Public Comment by teleconference is available after roll call (beginning of meeting and prior to adjournment (end of meeting). Live Public Comment is limited to three (3) minutes for each individual.

Members of the public may submit public comment in written form to: Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners, 2651 N. Green Valley Pkwy, Ste. 104, Henderson, NV 89014; FAX number (702) 486-7046; e-mail address nsbde@dental.nv.gov. Written submissions received by the Board on or before **Iuesday**, **January 31**, **2023 by 4:00 p.m.** may be entered into the record during the meeting. Any other written public comment submissions received prior to the adjournment of the meeting will be included in the permanent record.

The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. See NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi-judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the Board may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126.

Persons/facilities who want to be on the mailing list must submit a written request every six (6) months to the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners at the address listed in the previous paragraph. With regard to any board meeting or telephone conference, it is possible that an amended agenda will be published adding new items to the original agenda. Amended Nevada notices will be posted in compliance with the Open Meeting Law.

We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who are disabled and wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify the Board, at (702) 486-7044, no later than 48 hours prior to the meeting. Requests for special arrangements made after this time frame cannot be guaranteed.

Pursuant to NRS 241.020(2) you may contact at (702) 486-7044, to request supporting materials for the public body or you may download the supporting materials for the public body from the Board's website at http://dental.nv.gov In addition, the supporting materials for the public body are available at the Board's office located at 2651 N. Green Valley Pkwy, Ste. 104, Henderson, NV 89014.

Note: Asterisks (*) "For Possible Action" denotes items on which the Board may take action. **Note:** Action by the Board on an item may be to approve, deny, amend, or tabled.

1. Call to Order

- Roll call/Quorum

Dr. Lee called the meeting to order at approximately 6:00 p.m. Courtney Lee conducted roll call. Quorum was met.

Dr. Tejpaul John (Dr. Johl) – Present
Dr. Ronald West (Dr. West) – Present
Dr. Adam York (Dr. York) – Present
Dr. Joshua Branco (Dr. Branco) – Present
Ms. Kimberly Petrilla (Ms. Petrilla) – Present
Mr. Michael Pontoni (Mr. Pontoni) – Present

Others Present: Courtney Lee, Executive Director; Jennifer Leonescu, General Counsel.

Public Attendees: Annette Lincicome; Caryn Solie; Cristine; Jill Hinxman; John Wightman, CPA; ; Kelly Taylor; Kyle Brown; Lilian; Miguel Barraza; Nasrin Houston; Nichelle Venable; Phernandez; sgarcia; Tyler Frigaard; Guest; Call-in User; Karla Martinec;

2. Public Comment (Live public comment by teleconference and pre-submitted email/written form):

The public comment period is limited to matters <u>specifically</u> noticed on the agenda. No action may be taken upon the matter raised during public comment unless the matter itself has been specifically included on the agenda as an action item. Comments by the public may be limited to three (3) minutes as a reasonable time, place and manner restriction, but may not be limited based upon viewpoint. The Chairperson may allow additional time at his/her discretion.

Members of the public may submit public comment via email to nsbde@dental.nv.gov, or by mailing/faxing messages to the Board office. Written submissions received by the Board on or before Tuesday, January 31, 2023, by 4:00 p.m. may be entered into the record during the meeting. Any other written public comment submissions received prior to the adjournment of the meeting will be included in the permanent record.

In accordance with Attorney General Opinion No. 00-047, as restated in the Attorney General's Open Meeting Law Manual, the Chair may prohibit comment if the content of that comment is a topic that is not relevant to, or within the authority of, the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners, or if the content is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational, or amounting to personal attacks or interfering with the rights of other speakers.

There was no public comment.

- *3. President's Report: (For Possible Action)
 - *a. Request to remove agenda item(s) (For Possible Action)

Dr. Lee introduced the above agenda item. Dr. Lee submitted additional material for consideration for agenda item 4(a). Dr. Lee requested that agenda item 4(e) be taken first.

*b. <u>Approve Agenda</u> (For Possible Action)

Ms. McIntyre made a motion to approve the agenda. Dr. Johl seconded the motion. There was no discussion. All were in favor. Motion passed.

- *4. New Business: (For Possible Action)
 - *a. Consideration, Discussion, and Selection of Review Panel Members for term beginning January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023 NRS 631.190; NRS 631.3635 (For Possible Action)
 - (1) **Dental Panel**: One (1) Board Member Dentist, One (1) Board Member Hygienist, and One (1) Non-Board Member Dentist
 - (2) <u>Alternate Dental Panel</u>: One (1) Board Member Dentist, One (1) Board Member Hygienist, and One (1) Non-Board Member Dentist
 - (3) <u>Dental Hygiene Panel</u>: One (1) Board Member Dentist, One (1) Board Member Hygienist, and One (1) Non-Board Member Hygienist
 - (4) <u>Alternate Dental Hygiene Panel:</u> One (1) Board Member Dentist, One (1) Board Member Hygienist, and One (1) Non-Board Member Hygienist

Dr. Lee introduced the agenda item. All Committee memberships are open and can be brought before the Board to be amended at any time. Ms. McIntyre made a motion to remove the term end date and approve the panels as outlined on page 9 of the confidential Board book. Dr. West seconded the motion. All were in favor. Motion passed.

*b. Consideration and Discussion of Review Panel Composition and Implementation of Third Review Panel, and Selection of Review Panel Members – NRS 631.190; NRS 631.3635 (For Possible Action)

(1) <u>Dental Panel 3</u>: One (1) Board Member Dentist, One (1) Board Member Hygienist, and One (1) Non-Board Member Dentist

Dr. Lee introduced the agenda item. Ms. McIntyre made a motion to approve implementation of a third review panel. Dr. Thompson seconded the motion. Discussion ensued between Dr. Thompson, Dr. Lee, Dr. West, and Dr. Kim regarding the need for a third review panel due to the number of complaints that need to be reviewed, and to be able to utilize other review panels when potential conflicts arise. Dr. Lee noted that a third review panel also allows all three Dental Hygienist Board members to serve on a review panel. All were in favor. Motion passed.

*c. Consideration, Discussion, and Possible Action Regarding Board Audit and CPA Selection and Contract Approval (For Possible Action)

- (1) Casey Neilon, Inc.
- (2) Rich Wightman & Company, CPAs, LLC

Dr. Lee introduced the agenda item. Dr. Lee noted that the contract with Casey Neilon was recommended by the Board of Accountancy due to their history with other Board audits. There was discussion between Dr. West, Ms. Arias, and Ms. McIntyre regarding the cause that the previous CPA decided to end the contract without notice. Ms. Leonescu stated that the documents were not produced timely by the previous ED. Casey Neilon was not in attendance to answer questions. Mr. Tyler Frigaard was in attendance to answer questions the Board had regarding the contract Rich Wightman & Company. Dr. Johl asked about a discounted rate for a two-year contract. Although this is definitely possible, Mr. Frigaard was not able to provide a figure without having reviewed the documents. In response to a query from Dr. West, Mr. Frigaard stated that his firm worked with the Clark County Bar Association and other non-profit organizations and schools and are familiar with government organizations.

Dr. Lee made a motion to approve contracting with Casey Neilon for the Board Audit. Dr. Johl seconded the motion because of the option for a two- or three-year contract which is significantly less expensive than the contract with Rich Wightman. Dr. Lee amended his motion to approve a two-year contract with Casey Neilon. Dr. Johl seconded the motion. There was no further discussion. All were in favor. Motion passed.

*d. <u>Discussion of Medical Insurance Premiums not Deducted from Employees' Paychecks in 2022, and Possible Charge Back to Employees and Cost of Living Adjustment Credits Not Given (For Possible Action)</u>

Dr. Lee introduced the agenda item. Ms. Leonescu provided a brief summary of the errors that need to be corrected. Dr. Thompson stated that because the Board made the mistake and the amounts are minimal, he would recommend that the Board not request reimbursement from the employees. Dr. West made a motion to not request reimbursement from the missed insurance premiums from 2022 and to ensure the premiums are deducted correctly in 2023. Dr. Johl seconded the motion. Dr. Lee noted that the errors have been corrected for 2023. All were in favor. Motion passed.

Ms. Leonescu provided a brief summary of the cost of living allowance increase voted by the Board on August 9, 2022 to be effective retroactive to July 1, 2022 but never implemented. Dr. West stated that because it is the employer's error, the COLA credits owed by the Board for 2022 should be paid to the employees. Dr. West made a motion to pay the COLA credits owed and ensure it is implemented going forward. Ms. McIntyre seconded the motion. All were in favor. Motion passed.

57

58

*e. <u>Discussion, Evaluation, and Potential Employment Actions Regarding Courtney Lee, Executive Director – NRS 631.190; NRS 241.031(1)</u> (For Possible Action)

Dr. Lee introduced the above agenda item and opened discussion to the Board members. Dr. Johl made a motion to terminate Courtney Lee as Executive Director without prejudice. Courtney Lee requested time to present her statement for the record prior to consideration of motions.

Courtney Lee provided the following statement to be read into the record, "I am informed that President Lee placed the discussion, evaluation, and potential employment actions for me as the Executive Director (ED) on the agenda as item 4(e), I am shocked, confused, and disappointed. The apparent pretext or stated reason for my proposed termination was because of my email regarding required TB screenings for all dental healthcare personnel; see the confidential Board book beginning on page 40. On or about January 17, 2023, I attended a dinner with Dr. Lee, Dr. Johl, and Ms. McIntyre. Dr. Lee said to me that he considered my email disrespectful and threatening. Within the next day or two, in an effort to temper my bias, I asked several Board staff to read my emails to determine whether they were, in fact, disrespectful and or threatening in any way, and they all stated in the negative. Regardless, my intention was not to be disrespectful or threatening in my email or in my subsequent conversations with Dr. Lee regarding the emails. In my view, I was simply enumerating the requirements of the law or regulation that incorporates and adopts the CDC infection prevention auidelines in NAC 631,178. In addition, my intention was to state that we all, the Board and Board staff, must comply with the laws, especially in light of the fact that the dental Board is under scrutiny by the Sunset Subcommittee. I do not believe that this email or any associated conversations are the reason for my proposed termination, as this would be too insignificant a reason and irrational. It is illogical from my perspective to be terminated for performing some of the job duties that I was actually hired to perform, that is, to ensure that licensees comply with the laws or regulations. As the Board knows, I started my employment as ED on January 3, 2023. During the short time of my employment with the dental Board, I constantly worked overtime in an attempt to organize, locate documents, comply with all reporting requirements including SPOLR and occupational license reporting, correct the PERS and PEBP calculations previously performed with errors, comply with the public official bond requirements that were apparently not properly bonded for the ED or the Secretary Treasurer, to correct ADP payroll issues, erroneous deductions, approved COLA increases that were not applied, as you can see in agenda item 4(d). This was in addition to scrambling to find a CPA to perform the overdue Board audit to LCB which was due December 1, 2022. I contacted over a dozen CPA firms in town to gather proposals of which two of them responded with written proposals. The proposals are attached in the Board book, see gaenda item 4(c). The Board does not have to take my word as truth but may ask any Board staff about my performance. In my opinion, the Board cannot and should not function without a full-time ED. The ED is responsible for keeping the financial records of the Board, attend all Board meetings, to review and sign off on all license applications, to sign checks, ensure compliance enforcement, among a plethora of other duties. The fact that I am the fifth ED, including interim EDs, in the last year and a half to two years, is troubling. I started at a time when the Board staff and office was in chaos. The Board staff is on edge, very anxious, unstable, and has been for some time. The Board staff needs stability and direction from the ED. I believe for a time that I provided the needed stability, direction, and compliance. I think that it is in fact a dereliction of duty for this Board to allow the ED position to remain vacant or even part time as it is not a part-time position. Almost every needed Board task to be performed, including inspection, licensing, payments, payroll, discipline, will lag and stagnate without an ED. I implore the Board to provide stability to the Board staff by appointing/hiring a qualified ED expediently, if not me, and disallowing one or a few members of the Board to drive the discussion about termination of same. With all due respect, I believe that Dr. Lee does not fully understand the magnitude of the work performed, or supposed to be performed, by the ED or Board staff. Nor does he wish to have a full-time ED so that he may inappropriately control the actions of Board staff. Dr. Lee appears to believe erroneously that the Board staff can function without a full-time ED illustrated by his impulsive and short-sighted proposed termination of me as ED. To reiterate, a full-time ED is necessary as evidenced by the prior state of the Board office, which was chaotic and disorganized, to say the least, because of months of neglect and/or mismanagement. Further, Dr. Lee appears to exceed his authority as President of this Board. The President of the Board is tasked with presiding at all Board meetings and hearings, not independently making decisions for the Board – NAC 631.010. An example that I discovered during my short time at the Board office was a particularly earegious disciplinary matter that came before the review panel which was approved by the review panel to impose a seven-year license revocation on a particular licensee. However, Dr. Lee specifically directed that the proposed stipulation not be sent out to the practitioner unless Dr. Lee reviewed

it. Dr. Lee did not individually need to approve or review this proposed stipulation as the review panel had 2 already reviewed and approved it. Dr. Lee ignored several email requests by the legal assistant at that time to review the stipulation which then languished. Currently, this particular practitioner has been practicing for 3 4 over a year despite his admission of the earegious act and the calls for discipline. It was around the same time as the January 17, 2023, dinner that I directed the General Counsel to send out this over-due stipulation 5 when coincidentally, Dr. Lee expressed his wish to terminate my employment. He stated that he could not 6 7 "work with me." He even incredulously asked me how to terminate my own position as ED, or he stated that I 8 could resign. Another example of Dr. Lee exceeding his authority as Board President, is that he individually 9 recently hired two new employees, to my knowledge without ever interviewing either candidate. Unless 10 there was a delegation from the Board to President Lee, which I do not believe that any such delegation 11 existed, these hirings were improper and Dr. Lee acted beyond the scope of his presidency. Only an action 12 taken by the entire Board to appoint/hire employees is valid unless delegated, pursuant to NRS 631.190(2). 13 The Board had previously delegated hiring of Board staff to the ED, which was never revoked or rescinded to my knowledge. However, I did not hire the two new employees as the ED. Dr. Lee appears to perpetuate the 14 15 chaos of the Board office by frequent firings and turnover of staff that he initiates or directs the ED to 16 accomplish. With no full-time ED to check or curtail his actions, Dr. Lee apparently believes that he may unilaterally direct the Board staff as he pleases and have no one to account for any of his improper 17 individual actions under the guise of oversight. The frequent turnover of staff means that there is no 18 19 institutional knowledge. There is no wonder that various tasks were performed incorrectly or not at all, which 20 should carry no blame on the current staff who did not receive adequate or proper training and were essentially thrown into their roles. There are other concerns that I have discovered during the few weeks that 21 22 I was here, including the fiscal year end 2023 budget figures that were seriously off, were approved by the 23 Board, and have to be amended; there were several allegations of open meeting law violations by the 24 Board; an extraordinary amount of outside attorneys fees expended by the Board in the last year and a half 25 in excess of \$360,000 of Board funds were spent which could be more wisely utilized had these matters been 26 resolved reasonably and in earlier stages; and the Board has been taking non-disciplinary actions against 27 licensees which may be construed as private reprimands which are prohibited under NRS 631.350(5). I do not know whether Dr. Lee proposes my unwarranted termination because I may uncover other possible issues 28 and/or if there are other outside factors that I am not aware of, but I do not wish to speculate. Similarly, I do 29 30 not know whether the stated actions by Dr. Lee were simply negligent or deliberate flouting of the laws, but either explanation should not be acceptable to this Board. I recommend that an agenda item be placed 31 32 on the next Board meeting for discussion and approval of an internal comprehensive audit. The Board CPA audit will largely account for the financial, however an audit of disciplinary and other processes should be 33 34 ordered. I wish the Board well. I hope that each of the Board members votes according to his or her 35 conscience. Thank you." 36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

51 52

53

54

55

56

57

Dr. Johl made a motion to terminate Courtney Lee as Executive Director. Dr. Thompson expressed frustration and concern regarding the staff turnover, but also voiced concerns regarding trust among the staff and Board members in order to work together as a team for the benefit of the State of Nevada. Dr. West agreed and reiterated that trust is a crucial component for the Board and Board staff to work effectively together. Dr. West stated that due to the fact that previous Board staff were not fulfilling their responsibilities, Dr. Lee had to step in and take a more active role. He expressed concern that the ED started off with a biased opinion of the Board and focused on what was wrong with the Board and that the Sunset Subcommittee was focused on terminating the Board. Ms. Lee responded that her only concern was that the Board should comply with the law. Discussion following between Dr. West and Dr. Johl reiterating that the trust was compromised, and they no longer felt comfortable with working with Ms. Lee. Dr. Johl expressed confidence in the current staff and the ability to serve the State of Nevada in the capacity of a licensing Board, notwithstanding past problems.

Licensees have shared their perspective of the Board's problems, and Dr. York recognized the importance of acknowledging the truth that has been expressed in Ms. Lee's statement. Dr. York also expressed that the Board members do not have the necessary knowledge regarding the employment of Ms. Lee, and it is difficult to have a vote regarding the matter. There have been several allegations, and Dr. York questioned whether the trust and working relationship is stable enough to continue. Ms. Lee responded that she agreed with his assessment, and shared that her intent was to work hard for the Board and correct the errors. Her point was not to point out all the issues, but rather to correct them. She pointed out that the ones being scrutinized were not the Board members, but the collective "we" of Board members and Board staff

together, that we need to follow the law. Dr. Johl agreed that the Board needs to follow the law, but he was not happy with the delivery provided in an email. There was discussion between Dr. Johl, Dr. Lee, Dr. West and Ms. Lee regarding the email exchange and staff involvement, and Ms. Lee's failure to review staff emails and correcting errors prior to sending, and the implications of the loss of confidence in her ability to execute.

Dr. Thompson reminded the Board that the discussion is taking place in public, and expressed the hope that future misunderstandings will be discussed prior to bringing to a Board meeting. He invited other Board members to contribute to the discussion. Ms. McIntyre expressed her concern that the working relationship was beyond repair. Dr. West expressed his concern that Ms. Lee was biased against the Board when she began her employment and also wondered whether the working relationship was beyond repair.

Dr. Lee brought forward the motion from Dr. Johl to terminate Ms. Lee's employment and entertained a second. Mr. Pontoni seconded the motion.

Dr. York stated that he was not ready to vote on the agenda item. He drew attention to the fact that it appeared that Ms. Lee began her employment and hit the ground running with a lot of drive and has accomplished so much. He expressed concern that the Board may have rushed to judgment against a new ED who has been in the office for four weeks, and it may be due to lack of communication. He again expressed his deep concern that there is not enough information to make an informed decision. Dr. York pointed out that the Board may be losing someone who appears to be highly motivated to work for the good of the Board. Ms. Arias gareed with Dr. York's assessment. Ms. Arias and Dr. West entertained the idea of a ninety day probationary period to provide Ms. Lee with a second chance and to revisit in ninety days. Dr. York suggested that the issue be taken up by the Employment Committee to mediate after fact finding has been completed, prior to bringing to the full Board for consideration. Dr. Johl expressed his discomfort with having a lame duck situation and stated that the situation is more than miscommunication. Ms. McIntyre and Dr. Lee expressed their doubts that the working relationship could be salvaged due to a mutual lack of trust between the President of the Board and the Executive Director. Dr. Thompson expressed his concern with the continued instability with the staff and stated that if possible, a probationary period is preferable to terminating Ms. Lee.

There being no further discussion, Dr. Lee entertained a vote to the motion put forward by Dr. Johl and seconded by Mr. Pontoni.

Dr. Tejpaul John (Dr. Johl) – Aye Dr. Ronald West (Dr. West) – Aye

Dr. Adam York (Dr. York) – Nay

Dr. Joshua Branco (Dr. Branco) – Aye

Ms. Jana McIntyre (Ms. McIntyre) – Aye Dr. Lance Kim (Dr. Kim) – Abstain due to personal friendship with Ms. Lee

Dr. Todd Thompson (Dr. Thompson) - Ave

Dr. David Lee (Dr. Lee) - Aye

Ms. Kimberly Petrilla (Ms. Petrilla) - Aye Ms. Yamilka Arias (Ms. Arias) - Aye

Mr. Michael Pontoni (Mr. Pontoni) – Aye

Dr. Johl made a motion to have a five-minute recess. McIntyre seconded the motion, All were in favor. Motion passed.

Upon returning from recess, Ms. Leonescu conducted roll call.

Dr. Tejpaul John (Dr. Johl) – Present

Dr. Ronald West (Dr. West) – Present

Dr. Adam York (Dr. York) – Present

Dr. Joshua Branco (Dr. Branco) – Present

Ms. Kimberly Petrilla (Ms. Petrilla) – Present

Mr. Michael Pontoni (Mr. Pontoni) –Present

Dr. Todd Thompson (Dr. Thompson) – Present

Dr. David Lee (Dr. Lee) - Present

Dr. Lance Kim (Dr. Kim) – Present

Ms. Yamilka Arias (Ms. Arias) – Present

Ms. Jana McIntyre (Ms. McIntyre) – Present

Ms. McIntyre made a motion to resume the meeting. Dr. Johl seconded the motion. All were in favor. Motion passed.

111

57 58

59

///

*f. <u>Discussion, Consideration, and Possible Selection and Appointment of Part-Time Interim Executive</u> <u>Director – NRS 631.160; NRS 631.190</u> (For Possible Action)

Dr. Lee introduced the above agenda item noting that the Board has hired an Executive Assistant to the Executive Director, Ms. Katrinka Jacquez, who will be starting in two weeks. Dr. Lee made a motion to appoint Ms. Katrinka Jacquez as the part-time interim executive director. Jason Dworin, previous part-time interim executive director, facilitated the hiring of Ms. Jacquez. Dr. York and Dr. Thompson expressed concerns regarding the feasibility of appointing staff that is not currently employed by the Board as interim executive director, and recommended appointing current staff who has experience with the Board. Dr. Lee suggested to limit the interim ED's responsibilities to statutorily sign paperwork, for example signing checks. Ms. Leonescu noted that the Board may not be able to limit the ED's abilities that are prescribed by statute. Dr. West suggested the General Counsel would be a better option as interim ED if possible. Discussion ensued between Dr. Lee, Dr. West, Dr. Johl, and Ms. Leonescu regarding the responsibilities of the ED, and whether Ms. Leonescu could serve as both General Counsel as well as Interim Executive Director. Ms. Leonescu noted that she could serve as interim Executive Director if she did not serve as General Counsel.

Dr. Lee amended his motion to appoint Ms. Leonescu as interim Executive Director. Dr. Johl seconded the motion. There was no further discussion. All were in favor. Motion passed.

5. Public Comment (Live public comment by teleconference): This public comment period is for any matter that is within the jurisdiction of the public body. No action may be taken upon the matter raised during public comment unless thematter itself has been specifically included on the agenda as an action item. Comments by the public may be limited to three (3)minutes as a reasonable time, place and manner restriction, but may not be limited based upon viewpoint. The Chairperson may allow additional time at his/her discretion.

Members of the public may submit public comment via email to nsbde@dental.nv.gov, or by mailing/faxing messages to the Board office. Written submissions received by the Board on or before Tuesday, January 31, 2023 by 4:00 p.m. may be entered into the record during the meeting. Any other written public comment submissions received prior to the adjournment of the meeting will be included in the permanent record.

In accordance with Attorney General Opinion No. 00-047, as restated in the Attorney General's Open Meeting Law Manual, the Chair may prohibit comment if the content of that comment is a topic that is not relevant to, or within the authority of, the NevadaState Board of Dental Examiners, or if the content is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational, or amounting to personal attacks or interfering with the rights of other speakers.

Dr. Thompson requested a list of Board member contact information be disseminated to the Board members. Dr. Lee recommended all Board members use their state email.

6. Announcements

Ms. Leonescu announced a brief litigation update directly following the Board meeting.

*7. Adjournment (For Possible Action)

Ms. McIntyre made a motion to adjourn. Dr. Thompson seconded the motion. All were in favor. Motion passed.

PUBLIC NOTICE POSTING LOCATIONS

Office of the N.S.B.D.E., 2651 N. Green Valley Pkwy, Ste. 104, Henderson, NV 89014
State Board of Dental Examiners website: www.dental.nv.gov
Nevada Public Posting Website: www.notice.nv.gov

Christopher B. Bateman Executive Director